Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

VIATACSIMILL

Feat Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yel another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. Lam very concerned about the fau-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronies and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Christine Knight 518 Warren St Gravville 11, 62844 J= - J · 2003-10-23 22 17 03 (GMT) 1650618 [670 From

Thursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Thomas Van Kirk 4111 Spring Valley Road C7 Harrisburg, PA 17109

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Michael Butler 114 N. Lincoln Ave Three Rivers, MI 49093

Commissioner Michael I Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA LACSIMILI

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's tootball game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment. A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a critizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Christopher Parrish 3106 Schneiter Ave Louisville, KY 40215

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

MATACSIMILI

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Furge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding toom for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, tlexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a critizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Marc Schuette 2831 ne 48th ave Portland, OR 97213 Hrursday October 29 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington, DC 20554

A LA FACSIMILL

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag," I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I emoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Paul Masque 1455 Greenwich St San Trancisco, CN 94109 Omrober 20 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission (15 12th Street NW Washington D.C. 18554

Head Michael Copps

an uniting to make my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast as technology for digital television. As a consumer and difficent I feel rangely that such a policy mould be had for innovation, consumer rights, and the framete adoption of DTW.

doubt competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in considering ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studies to rate teatures of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studies to tell echnologists what new products they can create. This will result in products the don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

it the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate. I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not paramore for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not sandste broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time

Compagely

hmustopher Feim Shill Hughes äve #109 Nos Augeles CA 90004 SA

16506181379 From

Thursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag "I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

I should point out that I'm not out on the limb where intellectual property is concerned. I don't believe that all information should be free. I produced software for a living, so copyright is my lifeblood. However, I believe that the pendulum has already swung too far in favor of the copyright holders and left the consumers with too little in terms of fair use rights. Please don't allow this to go any further.

Sincerely,

Daniel Thompson 12504 Oro Valley Trail Austin, TX 78729

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA LACSIMILL

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I emov

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable. The sible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I may you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

8am Hathaway 257 Cypress Street Providence, RI 02906

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 115-12th Street, NA Washington, DC 20554

\ IA LACSIMIL!

Dear Commissioner Copps.

concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal

NPAA and its affices to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution having digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer are more expensive and less valuable The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and

onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this movic. send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program control and flexibility that I enjoy participate I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content - I can modify, create, and In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's

the courte promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I If the move to digital felevision does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable.

Sincereis.

Doug Harlan 212 Farl Rd Vestal: NY 13850

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

as a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

Stuart Goldstein 2111 NE 25th Ave Hillsboro OR 97124

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

Antal Juhasz 9710 Caney Creek Dr. San Antonio, TX 78245 Thursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Huy Vu 1570 Coft Way San Jose, CA 95121 nursday October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 45 10th Sheet NVV Vest Ington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

i¹ ⊇ar Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a proadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching wesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

Laddition Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's trunnology. Loan be more than a passive recipient of content -- Loan modify, create, and participate. Loan into a TV to watch later olip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenioy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV plature is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer excipement. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by a pushing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Bruce Bradley 4943 lippingham drive Chester, VA 23831 Umrsday October 29 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-121h Street NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA LACSIMILL.

Dan Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronies, and computer products. Furge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buving digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room limited another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition for making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate—I can record IV to watch later—clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie—send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a IV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's opartment—The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier IV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

John Spragens P () Box 61001 Palo Alto CA 94306

Commissioner Michael J. Copps +45 12th Street NW >Vashington_DC 20554

. A CACSIMILE

Tear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag" Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching coesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room from another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition to making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my and s football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's chartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

I the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exchang what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Philip Rabne - 33 Somerset Dr Birmingham, AL 35206

⊖mmissioner Michael J. Copps 445 (2th Street NW Washington, DC 20554

VIVIACSIMILE

4)

Dear Commissioner Copps

Both as a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, and, as an analyst serving the interactive TV and telecommunications industries. Lurge the FCC to vote against the adoption of a broadcast flag."

There's no dispute that content-owners and copyright holders should have the right to assert their ownership rights, and to be recognized and compensated for the use of their content.

Clearly princy is illegal, and I would condone the use of technology-based tools that can assist with the identification of content so that acts of princy can be identified at the time of the theft, or forensically

However I strongly disagree with the steady push to erode Fair Use. If a consumer acquires content through legitimate channels and uses it within the acknowledged scope of fair use, no technology (whether it be broadcast flag. DRM, conditional access, or any other technology yet to be invented) should interfere with the right to Lair Use.

Also the LCC should not be in the business of mandating the use of a specific technology. As technologies (and work-arounds) evolve, different approaches will come to the fore, which will render past technology solutions (and mandates) inneffective.

Please consider these factors during your decision making process as the FCC rules on the Broadcast Flag issue. Thank you.

Sinccrely, Steven Hawley

Frincipal & Consulting Analyst Advanced Media Strategies PO Box 1991 Issaquah. WA 98027 USA

http://www.tvstrategies.com/mailto:/steve@tvstrategies.com/

Sincerely

Sleven C. Hawley PO Box 1991 Issaquah WA 98027 rursday October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps +15 12th Street NW \ ashington, DC 20554

'TA + ACSIMILE

Lear Commissioner Copps

Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " Lam gravely concerned that or adoast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television."

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching disesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition to making us buy special-burpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

I addition I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology it can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's upurtment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer ecuipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. Lurge you to promote the digital transition by expaning the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Mincent Lee 42999 Cedar Springs Court Ashburn VA 20148 2 hursary October 25 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Vashington, DC 20554

· A FACSIMILE

Elear Corranissioner Copps

/ s a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a proadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

Including the elevision transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying crights television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching coesn't mean disparding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room to yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition to making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology it can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's exartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

I the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A preftier TV poture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by exposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Leficacy c583 Granada Avel S Cottage Grovel MN 55016 Hiursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps +45-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

MATACSIMILL

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjov television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding from for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. Fam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content – I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A preffici TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electionics and computer equipment. As a critizen and consumer of broadcast television. I mige you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Lanv 2018 N. Fremont St. Cornelius, OR 97113 October 23, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps caleral Communications Commission (4) 32th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20524

Эел Michael Copps

and writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and entirem. I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for uniovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV

Circlust competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to uniovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to voto teatures of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other quipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag rechnology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely

Eradley Creger (423 Vaina Ave an Nuys CA 91401 (Sa

Kevin Wildermuth 1513 30th Ave S Seattle, WA 98144

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Lederal Communications Commission 445-(2th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Michael J. Copps

As a broadcast television viewer and consumer of electronics and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am outraged that the LCC would consider a regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

Given that the broadcasters are using the public's airwaves, essentially for free, it is important that the public retain some benefit. I am an educator, and the current ability to utilize the fair use doctrine to copy broadcast materials for educational purposes is essential to teaching media literacy to junior and high school students who need to learn to think critically about what they are seeing.

The broadcast flag is neither in my interest not the public's interest. It will prevent me from watching digital broadcast television in the ways I currently enjoy analog broadcast television—for example, it will restrict my ability to move the video I have recorded for personal viewing from room—to—room and place—to—place

Furthermore, if computers cannot fixeely receive digital television, how can I expect creative developers to discover new devices that enable me to use content in exciting ways I haven't even thought of? I value importance devices like TiVo, Replay IV and the Windows Media Center PC, which exist today because they were built to open standards using mexpensive, off—the—shelf computer parts

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital television equipment? A prettier picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer ecuipment. As a cruzen and viewer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Keym Wildermath

2003 10-23 21 13 44 (SWIT) 10500 18 19 79 FR

Thursday October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 (2th Street NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILL.

Dear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I mge the l'ederal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lemoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose D IV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later; clip a small piece of IV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's tootball game to a distant relative, or record a IV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling teason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough teason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electionics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Chirs Lamason 50) Louisa Lane Mechanicsburg, PA (7050)

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIATACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I mge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify create, and participate I can record TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's tootball game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exerting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A pretiter TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Candida Smith Pineda 4011 Fast 1991d Circle Denver, CO 80241 October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael U Copps Tederal Communications Commission 445 12th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps

am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate. I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerety

David Gallardo 832 I dokout Dr los Angeles CA 90012 LSA